

Vol 11, Issue 11, November 2024

Beyond Local Realism: Exploring Bell's Inequality and Entanglement with a Python Simulation

^[1] Kawaljeet Kaur

^[1] Department of Physics, NIT Agartala, Agartala, India Corresponding Author Email: ^[1] kakawaljeet@gmail.com

Abstract— Bell's Inequality is a fundamental concept in quantum mechanics that highlights the existence of non-local correlations between entangled particles that defy classical physics. In this paper, we present a Python-based simulation designed to investigate the violation of Bell's Inequality. The simulation generates pairs of entangled particles and measures their spin correlations, assuming they possess predetermined spin values, as suggested by Einstein's concept of hidden variables. Our findings confirm predictions that challenge the principles of local realism, consistently demonstrating a violation of Bell's Inequality through probabilities that exceed classical limits. Our findings show a 66.6% probability of detecting opposite spins under the assumption of predetermined spin values, in contrast to the 50% probability predicted and observed by quantum mechanics. Our results provide direct contradiction of loca lity with observation.

Our results align with quantum mechanical predictions, providing strong evidence against hidden-variable theories. We also review key experimental results that have further validated these outcomes, effectively closing loopholes and reinforcing the nonlocal nature of quantum entanglement. Our study highlights the strength of quantum correlations and the inadequacy of classical explanations in describing entangled systems.

Index Terms—Bell's inequality, entanglement, hidden variables, localism, quantum mechanics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bell's Inequality, formulated by John Bell in 1964 [1], challenges the notion of local realism in quantum mechanics, a perspective that gained attention following the EPR (Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen) paradox [2]. Local realism posits that particles possess definite properties independent of measurement and that information cannot be transmitted faster than the speed of light. In this context, Bell's Inequality establishes a limit on the correlations that can be achieved between particles under the framework of local realism.

Conversely, quantum mechanics predicts violations of this limit, revealing non-local correlations between entangled particles that appear to defy classical intuitions. Bell's theorem mathematically demonstrates that no physical theory based on local realism can account for all predictions of quantum mechanics [1]. This has profound implications, suggesting that the world is fundamentally interconnected in ways that classical physics cannot explain.

The implications of these findings extend beyond theoretical considerations; they play a crucial role in the development of quantum technologies. In particular, the principles arising from Bell's Inequality have practical applications in quantum computing [3] [4] and cryptography [5] [6], such as quantum key distribution [7] protocols that leverage non-local correlations to ensure secure communication.

As research progresses, the exploration of Bell's Inequality and its consequences may not only enhance our understanding of quantum mechanics but also unveil new paradigms in technology and conceptual frameworks for future scientific inquiry.

II. QUANTUM ENTANGLEMENT

Quantum entanglement is a phenomenon where two or more particles become linked in such a manner that their states become correlated and measuring state of one particle directly influences the state of the other, regardless of the distance separating them. For instance, consider two electrons that can each have spin states of $\pm 1/2$ or $\pm 1/2$. In an entangled state, each electron has a 50% chance of being measured as 'up' and a 50% chance as 'down.' However, their states are perfectly correlated: if one electron is measured as 'up,' the other will be 'down,' and vice versa. Remarkably, this correlation persists even when the electrons are separated by vast distances, potentially light-years apart.

Albert Einstein famously referred to this phenomenon as "spooky action at a distance" [2]. In the Einstein-PodolskyRosen (EPR) paper [2], Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen argued that quantum mechanics must be incomplete because it permits these instantaneous correlations. They suggested that hidden variables—unknown factors—must exist to preserve local realism, which asserts that the world is local and that particles possess predetermined properties governing measurement outcomes. Moreover, they posited that any measurement would yield a unique, predetermined result.

However, Bell's theorem, along with subsequent experimental validation, has demonstrated that the predictions of quantum mechanics are fundamentally accurate, and that the correlations observed in entangled particles cannot be reconciled with any local hidden-variable



Vol 11, Issue 11, November 2024

theory. Notably, Alain Aspect and his colleagues conducted pivotal experiments in the 1980s that provided robust evidence supporting the quantum mechanical interpretation by demonstrating violations of Bell's Inequality under rigorously controlled conditions designed to eliminate potential loopholes [8].

Current research continues to push the frontiers of quantum entanglement, testing the foundations of quantum mechanics and developing entanglement-based technologies, such as scalable quantum networks for secure communication and computation. While the exact mechanism underlying entanglement remains elusive, recent conjectures, such as the EPR = ER hypothesis [9], propose that entanglement might correspond to wormholes linking two points in space [10]. This idea is gaining traction, opening new avenues for exploring the deep connection between entanglement and spacetime geometry.

III. BELL'S INEQUALITY AND QUANTUM NONLOCALITY

Bell's inequality is a fundamental result in quantum mechanics that highlights the limitations of classical assumptions when applied to quantum systems, specifically with regard to *local realism*—the notion that physical properties are both intrinsic (realism) and unaffected by distant events (locality). Under local hidden variable theories, the inequality, which was first put forth by physicist John Bell in 1964 [1], places a statistical limit on the correlations that can be seen between measurements on entangled particles.

Two particles are entangled and sent to separate locations for measurements to be made independently by observers in a standard Bell test experiment. Bell's inequality should be satisfied by the measurement results, according to local realism. Nevertheless, under certain measurement conditions, correlations predicted by quantum mechanics defy this inequality, ruling out theories including local hidden variables.

Mathematical Formulation: The most widely used form, known as the CHSH inequality (Clauser-Horne-Shimony Holt), can be expressed as:

$$|E(a,b) + E(a,b') + E(a',b) - E(a',b')| \le 2$$
(1)

where E(a,b) represents the correlation between measurements at settings a and b for the entangled particle pair. Experimental violations of this inequality, with results often approaching the quantum limit of $2\sqrt{2}$, support the predictions of quantum mechanics and confirm the phenomenon of quantum entanglement.

Implications: Bell's inequality violation suggests that two fundamental concepts of classical physics, locality and realism, need to be re-examined. For domains such as *quantum information science* and *quantum cryptography*, where secure communication protocols that are beyond the realm of conventional physics are made possible by entanglement and nonlocal correlations, this discovery is essential.

This section sets the foundation for understanding how quantum mechanics departs from classical intuitions and underscores the importance of nonlocality in quantum theory, motivating our experimental approach/analysis presented in this paper.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROOFS

Over the decades, numerous experiments have tested Bell's Inequality, consistently showing violations predicted by quantum mechanics.

- Aspect Experiment (1982): Alain Aspect and his team conducted groundbreaking experiments that provided the first strong evidence against local hidden-variable theories. Using polarization-entangled photons, they performed measurements that effectively closed the locality loophole, reinforcing the validity of quantum mechanics [8].
- CHSH Inequality: Experiments testing the ClauserHorne-Shimony-Holt inequality, a form of Bell's Inequality, have consistently violated the classical limit of 2, achieving results closer to the quantum mechanical limit of $2\sqrt{2}$ [11].
- Nobel Prize 2022: The Nobel Prize in Physics 2022 was awarded to Alain Aspect, John F. Clauser, and Anton Zeilinger for their pioneering experiments with entangled photons. Their work firmly established the violation of Bell's Inequality and laid the foundation for quantum information science [12].

V. METHODOLOGY

The Python code simulates an experiment to test Bell's Inequality by measuring the spins of entangled particle pairs along three different axes. The steps of the simulation are as follows:

- Generate particles: Creates a list of particles with randomly assigned spin states ("up" or "down").
- Generate entangled particles: Generates entangled particles with spins opposite to those of the originally generated particles.
- Measure particle: Randomly selects a particle and its entangled partner for spin measurement.
- Test _correlation: Conducts multiple trials, measuring the spins of particle pairs and calculating the probability of observing opposite spins in the entangled pair.
- Main: Executes the simulation for a specified number of particle configurations and trials, computing the overall average probability of finding opposite spins.

In the simulation, two detectors measure the spins of the entangled particles along three possible axes. The observer can choose one of these axes while the particle is in transit. According to the EPR argument, the particles should have



Vol 11, Issue 11, November 2024

predetermined spin values along each of the three axes.

For example, consider a particle with predetermined spins (up, down, up) along the three axes. Its entangled partner would therefore have spins (down, up, down). The probability of obtaining opposite spins on each detector is calculated by considering all possible measurement combinations:

If we measure along the first axis, the spins are (up, down). If we measure along the second axis, the spins are (down, up). If we measure along the third axis, the spins are (up, down).

For this particle pair, the probability of obtaining opposite 5

spins across the three axes is $\frac{3}{9}$.

For other spin configurations, such as (up, up, up) or (down, down, down), where the entangled partner has the opposite spins, the probability of opposite spins is 1. Overall, the average probability of obtaining opposite spins is typically greater than $\frac{5}{9}$.

To illustrate further, consider particles with spins (up, down, up) and (down, up, down) along three axes as shown below:

| | Axis 1 | Axis 2 | Axis 3 |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| | ↑ | \downarrow | 1 |
| | \downarrow | ↑ | \downarrow |
| The measure | ment con | nbinations | and outcom |
| | 1 ↑ | $1\downarrow$ | (↑,↓) |
| | 2↓ | 1↓ | (\downarrow,\downarrow) |
| | 3 ↑ | 1↓ | (↑,↓) |
| | 1 ↑ | 2↑ | (†,†) |
| | 2↓ | 2 ↑ | (↓,↑) |
| | 3 ↑ | 2 ↑ | (†,†) |
| | 1 ↑ | 3↓ | (↑,↓) |
| | 2↓ | 3↓ | (\downarrow,\downarrow) |
| | 3 ↑ | 3↓ | (\uparrow,\downarrow) |

Thus, the average probability of opposite spins in this simulation is approximately 66.5%. However, quantum mechanics predicts this probability should be around 50%, as shown in experimental results. This discrepancy underscores the inadequacy of local realism and highlights the necessity for a quantum mechanical framework to explain such phenomena.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The simulation results show a clear violation of Bell's Inequality, consistent with the predictions of quantum mechanics. The average probability of finding opposite spins in entangled pairs exceeds the limit set by local realism, indicating the presence of non-local correlations. These findings align with real-world experiments, such as those conducted by Aspect et al., which have robustly demonstrated the violation of Bell's Inequality under stringent conditions.

For a configuration with 10,000 trials and 1,000 configurations, the probabilities of finding opposite spins are as follows:

| Table I. Probabilities | of Finding Opposite Spins for 10,000 |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Trials an | nd 1,000 Configuration |

| | | <u> </u> |
|---------|-------|-------------|
| S. No. | Trial | Probability |
| 1 | Ø | 0.6617531 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.6646737 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.6714206 |
| 4 | 4 | 0.6625725 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.6685565 |
| 6 | 6 | 0.6641948 |
| 7 | 7 | 0.6638612 |
| 8 | 8 | 0.6707126 |
| 9 | 9 | 0.6664775 |
| 10 | 10 | 0.6655859 |
| Average | | 0.66598084 |

For 100,000 trials and 1,000 configurations, the average probability is 0.66578915.



Fig. 1. Probability vs. Trial for 10,000 trials and 1,000 configurations

The simulation results indicate a consistent average probability of approximately 66.6% for finding opposite spins in entangled pairs. According to local hidden-variable theories, this probability should be atleast greater than 5/9 or 55% when measuring spins along one of the three axes, as the predetermined properties of the particles would dictate the outcomes. However quantum mechanics predicts this value to be lower than 5/9 specifically 50%. This correlation means that no matter which axis we choose, there is an inherent uncertainty (or "fuzziness") in the spin states, leading to an average probability of 50%. This deviation from the classical expectation emphasizes the non-local nature of quantum mechanics, as the measured entangled particles exhibit correlations that cannot be explained by any local hidden-variable theory.



Vol 11, Issue 11, November 2024

The violation of Bell's Inequality, supported by both theoretical predictions and experimental observations, underscores the fundamentally non-local character of quantum mechanics. These results have profound implications for fields like quantum computing and cryptography, where quantum entanglement serves as a key resource. For instance, quantum key distribution (QKD) leverages the security provided by the nonlocal correlations and unpredictability inherent in quantum mechanics.

VII. CONCLUSION

Our Python simulation confirms the quantum mechanical prediction of Bell's Inequality violation, demonstrating the presence of non-local correlations between entangled particles. These results, alongside real-world experiments, strongly suggest that local realism cannot adequately explain the behavior of entangled systems. This highlights the necessity of a quantum mechanical framework to fully comprehend the fundamental nature of reality at the smallest scales.

VIII. FUTURE WORK

Future work could expand the simulation to explore different types of entangled states, such as multiparticle entanglement, and investigate how decoherence and noise affect Bell's Inequality violations. This would offer valuable insights for practical applications in quantum computing and communication. Additionally, recent theoretical advances, such as the EPR=ER conjecture, which proposes that entanglement may correspond to wormholes connecting two points in space, open exciting new avenues for exploring the deeper nature of quantum entanglement and its connection to spacetime geometry. Validating these simulations on real quantum hardware, like IBM's or Google's quantum computers, would be a significant step toward bridging theoretical and experimental quantum research.

Acknowledgment:

I would like to express my gratitude for the invaluable support I received during my research journey. My days at the National Institute of Technology (NIT) Agartala played a crucial role in equipping me with the foundational knowledge necessary for this work. I am especially thankful to my master's thesis supervisor, Professor Biswajit Paul, whose guidance in advanced mathematical concepts was instrumental in shaping my understanding.

I would also like to acknowledge Professor Suman Kalyan for his engaging lectures on statistical mechanics, which greatly enhanced my grasp of the relevant concepts in this research. Their contributions have been pivotal in my academic growth and in the successful completion of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. S. Bell. On the einstein podolsky rosen paradox. *Physics Physique Fizika*, 1:195–200, Nov 1964.
- [2] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen. Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? *Phys. Rev.*, 47:777–780, May 1935.
- [3] Geza T´ oth and Craig S. Lent. Quantum computing with quantum-dot´ cellular automata. *Phys. Rev. A*, 63:052315, Apr 2001.
- [4] Sandor Imre. Quantum computing and communications introduction´ and challenges. Computers Electrical Engineering, 40(1):134–141, 2014. 40th-year commemorative issue.
- [5] D. Bruß and C. Macchiavello. Optimal eavesdropping in cryptography with three-dimensional quantum states. *Physical Review Letters*, 88(12), March 2002.
- [6] Tae-Gon Noh. Counterfactual quantum cryptography. *Physical Review Letters*, 103(23), December 2009.
- [7] Hoi-Kwong Lo, Marcos Curty, and Kiyoshi Tamaki. Secure quantum key distribution. *Nature Photonics*, 8(8):595–604, July 2014.
- [8] Alain Aspect, Philippe Grangier, and Gerard Roger. Experimental tests' of realistic local theories via bell's theorem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 47:460–463, Aug 1981.
- [9] De-Chang Dai, Djordje Minic, Dejan Stojkovic, and Changbo Fu. Testing the ER=EPR conjecture. *Phys. Rev. D*, 102: 066004, Sep
- [10] 2020.
- [11] Leonard Susskind. Er=epr, ghz, and the consistency of quantum measurements. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 64(1):72–83, 2016.
- [12] Stuart J. Freedman and John F. Clauser. Experimental test of local hidden-variable theories. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 28:938–941, Apr 1972.
- [13] Reinhold A. Bertlmann. The laureates of the nobel prize in physics 2022. some personal memories, 2023.